





## INTIMATION.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LIMITED  
THE HONGKONG DISPENSARY  
ESTD. 1841

WATSON'S  
IMPROVED  
CARBO-CAMPHYLENE

Is distinguished by its white addition to the Red Caps, which states that the IMPROVED CARBO-CAMPHYLENE is suitable in all proportions.

ITS DISINFECTANT IN WATER.  
Combined with its Antiseptic and Sterilizing Qualities, will come in to the Public for General Use, as it is an Efficient, Agreeable, and Perfect Disinfectant for Sick-rooms, Bath-rooms, and all noisome vessels therein. For all purposes it should be diluted freely with Water.

DIRECTIONS FOR USE.  
As a Surface-disinfectant in Visiting-Arms. A little sprinkled on the hands, or on the face and neck, or in front of the nose and mouth in infected rooms, or in passing through infected districts, is strongly recommended.

For Washing the Face and Hands. A teaspoonful to a gallon of water.

For the Bath. A tablespoonful or less.

For Sick-rooms and Bed-rooms. A teaspoonful or more distributed in a basin, round the room, or on the bed, or on the floor.

For Spraying. A wineglassful to a pint of water.

As a Mouth Wash. A few drops in a tumbler of water.

For Washing Dishes. A tablespoonful to a bowl of water.

THE IMPROVED  
CARBO-CAMPHYLENE

converts the waste bath water into a valuable Disinfectant which is passing through the downspouts and stretch-traps destroys germs, bacteria and removes bad smells. Its use in the bath has a most beneficial effect on the skin and greatly reduces the risk of infection.

A. S. WATSON & CO., LIMITED  
Hongkong, 14th July, 1924.

120

NOTICE TO CORRESPONDENTS.  
Only communications relating to the press columns should be addressed to the Editor.

Correspondents are requested to forward their names and addresses with communications addressed to the Editor, for publication, but no evidence of good faith.

All letters for publication should be written on one side of the paper only.

No money or any other consideration should be expected for the publication of letters.

Orders for extra copies of the Daily Press should be sent before 11 a.m. on the day of publication. After that hour there is no limit. Only supplied for Cash.

Telegraphic Address Press.  
P. O. Box 20.

Telephone No. 12.

BIRTH.  
At 10 a.m. on the 18th inst., the wife of TANG GUANG-SHENG, 1107 Desford.

Cor Daily Press.

HONGKONG, JULY 23RD, 1924.

In the event of war breaking out between China and Japan, some delicate questions would arise as to the extent to which the respective countries should be allowed to exercise the ordinary rights of belligerents.

A few days ago it was believed that a peaceful solution of the dispute over Korea was practically assured, but later news received is more disquieting and the apprehension of hostilities has been revived. The probabilities are that should they come to blows both countries will be desirous to avoid giving cause of offence to other Powers by venturing proceedings in respect of neutral trade. It would be unwise to mount on this, however. If one of the belligerents succeeded in wiping out the fleet of the other its own vessels would then be available for the purposes of blockade should the other party still refuse to submit to terms. At the commencement of the war both fleets would be too busy engaged in watching each other to be able to establish any blockade of the enemy's ports, but after a time, when one had possibly secured a distinct advantage, a different state of things would arise. In such case would Japan be allowed to establish a blockade of, say, Shanghai, or conversely China to establish a blockade of Yokohama? According to the laws of war the right to do so would be unquestionable, but it is not to be supposed that the Treaty Powers would stand aside and look on while trade was being unnecessarily and wantonly crippled. Belligerents also ordinarily possess the right to visit and search neutral vessels. Would this right be allowed to China and Japan? It was exercised by France in the Franco-Chinese war, although that affair was not technically a war at all but merely reprisals; and it was submitted to, if not altogether without protest, at all events without resistance. What, too, would be the position of this Colony? The chances are that there would be no fighting in our immediate neighbourhood, but in any case it would be the duty of the Colony to observe strict neutrality, and especially not to allow the belligerents' ships to refuel here. Many difficult questions might arise, and it is almost certain that war would cause serious interruption to trade unless limitations were imposed on the operations of the contending parties. It is British trade that would be chiefly affected, or trade to British ports, and the co-operation of the other Powers would be justified, if not in actually intervening to forcibly prevent war, at all events in imposing such conditions as will minimize the disastrous effects of the conflict on neutral trade. It is to be hoped the friendly mediation of Great Britain, which both Powers have accepted, may be effective in

Preventing a resort to arms, but if it should unfortunately fail a vigorous policy will be required for the protection of British interests.

In the extradition treaty with Portugal, published in the *Gazette* a few weeks ago, it is provided that the stipulations of the treaty shall be applicable to the colonies and foreign possessions of both of the high contracting parties, as far as the laws for the time being in force in such colonies and foreign possessions will respectively allow.

Liberty has been reserved to make special arrangements within the respective colonies. A protocol attached to the treaty provides that it shall not apply to extradition between British and Portuguese India, which is reserved for ulterior negotiation.

The treaty is in force, however, as regards Macao and Hongkong. It has frequently been necessary for the Hongkong Government to apply to the Macao Government for the surrender of fugitive criminals, and the surrender has always been made as a matter of international comity, although there were then no treaty stipulations governing the matter. We do not recollect, however, that any of the criminals whose surrender was so applied for were accused of murder; they have been mostly embezzlers who have gone over to the neighbouring Colony for a few days' breathing space, when discovery of their crimes was imminent, for they could hardly have expected, in view of the prevailing practice as between the two Governments, that they would find the Holy City a safe asylum for any length of time.

But according to the treaty now in force, a murderer would possess an advantage over any other criminal, for it is provided that "The Portuguese Government will not deliver up any person either guilty or accused of any crime punishable with death, Capital punishment does not exist under Portuguese law, and it has apparently been thought improper to give up any criminal who might be subjected to that punishment under British law. If a man guilty of a murder in Hongkong, therefore, and sequestered in Macao, he will be safe, so far as the extradition law goes. The Macao Government, however, could hardly regard a murderer as a desirable addition to its population, and if the Governor possesses equivalent powers of banishment to those possessed by the Governor of Hongkong they would probably be exercised in the case of a murderer flying to that colony from Hongkong. The result would be that though the Macao Government could not formally surrender the fugitive to the Hongkong authorities they would not allow him to remain in Macao, and on his banishment he could not well escape arrest, for his movements would naturally be closely watched.

The *M. M. steamer Salazar*, with the outward French mail, left Saigon at 2 p.m. on Saturday.

Nineteen boats on Saturday were found fifty-one on the Police Court for neglecting to take out their licenses on the proper date.

Mr. C. V. O'Connell, the Governor of British North Borneo, was installed as the 15th Mayor of the Municipal Council of Hongkong at the Municipal Council Chamber on Saturday.

The steamer *Tartar*, bought by the Nippon Yusen Kaisha from Messrs. Dalmat & Co., is to be named the *Kokura Maru*, and is to run between Yokohama and Kobe.

The Oxford Local Examinations have just been concluded. The Rev. R. F. Cobbold, M.A., acted as Superintendent Examiner. Forty-five were passed and there were present for examination two seniors and twenty-four juniors, of whom two were girls.

On Saturday evening an Indian clerk, named Yumbli, employed in the office of Mr. G. D. Wilkinson, committed suicide by taking a large dose of opium, and was found dead in his room at 42, Hollywood Road. Money matters are supposed to have been the cause of the act.

The passenger department of the Northern Pacific Railway has issued "A Sportsman's Manual" under the title of "The Animal Game Protection Act." It is a small book, and is available to all who wish to take a trip to the States via America who want a little sport on the way will find the handbook a useful companion.

The total number of bales of tobacco of the 1923 crop exported from Sumatra and Darul Bay is the *North Borneo Herald* says 3,011; of these 2,455 bales were shipped to Amsterdam and 556 bales to Rotterdam. The value of the tobacco was valued at 822,000, which is less than the previous year, which is due to the closing of the Malaya and Siam trade.

The *Courier de Saigon* publishes under the name of *Le Courrier de Saigon* a place-holding, carried along the coast of Anna, and especially at the chief town of the province, where it is reported to be sold to Saigon by the French from Hue. The report, our contemporary adds, is not confirmed by those who ought to know if it were true.

A young European, a new arrival, attempted to rob a Frenchman on Saturday at the administration of the French Consulate. He was overpowered, and the Frenchman, who was a Frenchman, was taken to the police station, and the Frenchman was released.

A farmer living at the village of Cheung Kwan, who has been a day or two away from his home, has been found dead in his bed, and the cause of death is believed to be a stroke.

In such case would Japan be allowed to establish a blockade of, say, Shanghai, or conversely China to establish a blockade of Yokohama? According to the laws of war the right to do so would be unquestionable, but it is not to be supposed that the Treaty Powers would stand aside and look on while trade was being unnecessarily and wantonly crippled.

Belligerents also ordinarily possess the right to visit and search neutral vessels. Would this right be allowed to China and Japan? It was exercised by France in the Franco-Chinese war, although that affair was not technically a war at all but merely reprisals; and it was submitted to, if not altogether without protest, at all events without resistance.

What, too, would be the position of this Colony? The chances are that there would be no fighting in our immediate neighbourhood, but in any case it would be the duty of the Colony to observe strict neutrality, and especially not to allow the belligerents' ships to refuel here.

Many difficult questions might arise, and it is almost certain that war would cause serious interruption to trade unless limitations were imposed on the operations of the contending parties. It is British trade that would be chiefly affected, or trade to British ports, and the co-operation of the other Powers would be justified, if not in actually intervening to forcibly prevent war, at all events in imposing such conditions as will minimize the disastrous effects of the conflict on neutral trade.

It is to be hoped the friendly mediation of Great Britain, which both Powers have accepted, may be effective in

Preventing a resort to arms, but if it should unfortunately fail a vigorous policy will be required for the protection of British interests.

In the extradition treaty with Portugal, published in the *Gazette* a few weeks ago, it is provided that the stipulations of the treaty shall be applicable to the colonies and foreign possessions of both of the high contracting parties, as far as the laws for the time being in force in such colonies and foreign possessions will respectively allow.

Liberty has been reserved to make special arrangements within the respective colonies. A protocol attached to the treaty provides that it shall not apply to extradition between British and Portuguese India, which is reserved for ulterior negotiation.

The treaty is in force, however, as regards Macao and Hongkong. It has frequently been necessary for the Hongkong Government to apply to the Macao Government for the surrender of fugitive criminals, and the surrender has always been made as a matter of international comity, although there were then no treaty stipulations governing the matter.

We do not recollect, however, that any of the criminals whose surrender was so applied for were accused of murder; they have been mostly embezzlers who have gone over to the neighbouring Colony for a few days' breathing space, when discovery of their crimes was imminent, for they could hardly have expected, in view of the prevailing practice as between the two Governments, that they would find the Holy City a safe asylum for any length of time.

But according to the treaty now in force, a murderer would possess an advantage over any other criminal, for it is provided that "The Portuguese Government will not deliver up any person either guilty or accused of any crime punishable with death, Capital punishment does not exist under Portuguese law, and it has apparently been thought improper to give up any criminal who might be subjected to that punishment under British law.

If a man guilty of a murder in Hongkong, therefore, and sequestered in Macao, he will be safe, so far as the extradition law goes. The Macao Government, however, could hardly regard a murderer as a desirable addition to its population, and if the Governor possesses equivalent powers of banishment to those possessed by the Governor of Hongkong they would probably be exercised in the case of a murderer flying to that colony from Hongkong.

The result would be that though the Macao Government could not formally surrender the fugitive to the Hongkong authorities they would not allow him to remain in Macao, and on his banishment he could not well escape arrest, for his movements would naturally be closely watched.

The *M. M. steamer Salazar*, with the outward French mail, left Saigon at 2 p.m. on Saturday.

Nineteen boats on Saturday were found fifty-one on the Police Court for neglecting to take out their licenses on the proper date.

Mr. C. V. O'Connell, the Governor of British North Borneo, was installed as the 15th Mayor of the Municipal Council of Hongkong at the Municipal Council Chamber on Saturday.

The *North Borneo Herald* says 3,011; of these 2,455 bales were shipped to Amsterdam and 556 bales to Rotterdam. The value of the tobacco was valued at 822,000, which is less than the previous year, which is due to the closing of the Malaya and Siam trade.

The *Courier de Saigon* publishes under the name of *Le Courrier de Saigon* a place-holding, carried along the coast of Anna, and especially at the chief town of the province, where it is reported to be sold to Saigon by the French from Hue. The report, our contemporary adds, is not confirmed by those who ought to know if it were true.

A young European, a new arrival, attempted to rob a Frenchman on Saturday at the administration of the French Consulate. He was overpowered, and the Frenchman, who was a Frenchman, was taken to the police station, and the Frenchman was released.

A farmer living at the village of Cheung Kwan, who has been a day or two away from his home, has been found dead in his bed, and the cause of death is believed to be a stroke.

In such case would Japan be allowed to establish a blockade of, say, Shanghai, or conversely China to establish a blockade of Yokohama? According to the laws of war the right to do so would be unquestionable, but it is not to be supposed that the Treaty Powers would stand aside and look on while trade was being unnecessarily and wantonly crippled.

Belligerents also ordinarily possess the right to visit and search neutral vessels. Would this right be allowed to China and Japan? It was exercised by France in the Franco-Chinese war, although that affair was not technically a war at all but merely reprisals; and it was submitted to, if not altogether without protest, at all events without resistance.

What, too, would be the position of this Colony? The chances are that there would be no fighting in our immediate neighbourhood, but in any case it would be the duty of the Colony to observe strict neutrality, and especially not to allow the belligerents' ships to refuel here.

Many difficult questions might arise, and it is almost certain that war would cause serious interruption to trade unless limitations were imposed on the operations of the contending parties. It is British trade that would be chiefly affected, or trade to British ports, and the co-operation of the other Powers would be justified, if not in actually intervening to forcibly prevent war, at all events in imposing such conditions as will minimize the disastrous effects of the conflict on neutral trade.

It is to be hoped the friendly mediation of Great Britain, which both Powers have accepted, may be effective in

Preventing a resort to arms, but if it should unfortunately fail a vigorous policy will be required for the protection of British interests.

In the extradition treaty with Portugal, published in the *Gazette* a few weeks ago, it is provided that the stipulations of the treaty shall be applicable to the colonies and foreign possessions of both of the high contracting parties, as far as the laws for the time being in force in such colonies and foreign possessions will respectively allow.

Liberty has been reserved to make special arrangements within the respective colonies. A protocol attached to the treaty provides that it shall not apply to extradition between British and Portuguese India, which is reserved for ulterior negotiation.

The treaty is in force, however, as regards Macao and Hongkong. It has frequently been necessary for the Hongkong Government to apply to the Macao Government for the surrender of fugitive criminals, and the surrender has always been made as a matter of international comity, although there were then no treaty stipulations governing the matter.

We do not recollect, however, that any of the criminals whose surrender was so applied for were accused of murder; they have been mostly embezzlers who have gone over to the neighbouring Colony for a few days' breathing space, when discovery of their crimes was imminent, for they could hardly have expected, in view of the prevailing practice as between the two Governments, that they would find the Holy City a safe asylum for any length of time.

But according to the treaty now in force, a murderer would possess an advantage over any other criminal, for it is provided that "The Portuguese Government will not deliver up any person either guilty or accused of any crime punishable with death, Capital punishment does not exist under Portuguese law, and it has apparently been thought improper to give up any criminal who might be subjected to that punishment under British law.

If a man guilty of a murder in Hongkong, therefore, and sequestered in Macao, he will be safe, so far as the extradition law goes. The Macao Government, however, could hardly regard a murderer as a desirable addition to its population, and if the Governor possesses equivalent powers of banishment to those possessed by the Governor of Hongkong they would probably be exercised in the case of a murderer flying to that colony from Hongkong.

The result would be that though the Macao Government could not formally surrender the fugitive to the Hongkong authorities they would not allow him to remain in Macao, and on his banishment he could not well escape arrest, for his movements would naturally be closely watched.

The *M. M. steamer Salazar*, with the outward French mail, left Saigon at 2 p.m. on Saturday.

Nineteen boats on Saturday were found fifty-one on the Police Court for neglecting to take out their licenses on the proper date.

Mr. C. V. O'Connell, the Governor of British North Borneo, was installed as the 15th Mayor of the Municipal Council of Hongkong at the Municipal Council Chamber on Saturday.

The steamer *Tartar*, bought by the Nippon Yusen Kaisha from Messrs. Dalmat & Co., is to be named the *Kokura Maru*, and is to run between Yokohama and Kobe.

The Oxford Local Examinations have just been concluded. The Rev. R. F. Cobbold, M.A., acted as Superintendent Examiner. Forty-five were passed and there were present for examination two seniors and twenty-four juniors, of whom two were girls.

On Saturday evening an Indian clerk, named Yumbli, employed in the office of Mr. G. D. Wilkinson, committed suicide by taking a large dose of opium, and was found dead in his room at 42, Hollywood Road. Money matters are supposed to have been the cause of the act.

No money or any other consideration should be expected for the publication of letters.

Orders for extra copies of the Daily Press should be sent before 11 a.m. on the day of publication. After that hour there is no limit. Only supplied for Cash.

Telegraphic Address Press.

P. O. Box 20.

Telephone No. 12.

BIRTH.

At 10 a.m. on the 18th inst., the wife of TANG GUANG-SHENG, 1107 Desford.

Cor Daily Press.

HONGKONG, JULY 23RD, 1924.

In the event of war breaking out between China and Japan, some delicate questions would arise as to the extent to which the respective countries should be allowed to exercise the ordinary rights of belligerents.

A few days ago it was believed that a peaceful solution of the dispute over Korea was practically assured, but later news received is more disquieting and the apprehension of hostilities has been revived. The probabilities are that should they come to blows both countries will be desirous to avoid giving cause of offence to other Powers by venturing proceedings in respect of neutral trade. It would be unwise to mount on this, however. If one of the belligerents succeeded in wiping out the fleet of the other its own vessels would then be available for the purposes of blockade should the other party still refuse to submit to terms. At the commencement of the war both fleets would be too busy engaged in watching each other to be able to establish any blockade of the enemy's ports, but after a time, when one had possibly secured a distinct advantage, a different state of things would arise. In such case would Japan be allowed to establish a blockade of, say, Shanghai, or conversely China to establish a blockade of Yokohama? According to the laws of war the right to do so would be unquestionable, but it is not to be supposed that the Treaty Powers would stand aside and look on while trade was being unnecessarily and wantonly crippled. Belligerents also ordinarily possess the right to visit and search neutral vessels. Would this right be allowed to China and Japan? It was exercised by France in the Franco-Chinese war, although that affair was not technically a war at all but merely reprisals; and it was submitted to, if not altogether without protest, at all events without resistance. What, too, would be the position of this Colony? The chances are that there would be no fighting in our immediate neighbourhood, but in any case it would be the duty of the Colony to observe strict neutrality, and especially not to allow the belligerents' ships to refuel here. Many difficult questions might arise, and it is almost certain that war would cause serious interruption to trade unless limitations were imposed on the operations of the contending parties. It is British trade that would be chiefly affected, or trade to British ports, and the co-operation of the other Powers would be justified, if not in actually intervening to forcibly prevent war, at all events in imposing such conditions as will minimize the disastrous effects of the conflict on neutral trade. It is to be hoped the friendly mediation of Great Britain, which both Powers have accepted, may be effective in

Preventing a resort to arms, but if it should unfortunately fail a vigorous policy will be required for the protection of British interests.

In the extradition treaty with Portugal, published in the *Gazette* a few weeks ago, it is provided that the stipulations of the treaty shall be applicable to the colonies and foreign possessions of both of the high contracting parties, as far as the laws for the time being in force in such colonies and foreign possessions will respectively allow.

Liberty has been reserved to make special arrangements within the respective colonies. A protocol attached to the treaty provides that it shall not apply to extradition between British and Portuguese India, which is reserved for ulterior negotiation.

The *North Borneo Herald* says 3,011; of these 2,455 bales were shipped to Amsterdam and 556 bales to Rotterdam. The value of the tobacco was valued at 822,000, which is less than the previous year, which is due to the closing of the Malaya and Siam trade.

The *Courier de Saigon* publishes under the name of *Le Courrier de Saigon* a place-holding, carried along the coast of Anna, and especially at the chief town of the province, where it is reported to be sold to Saigon by the French from Hue. The report, our contemporary adds, is not confirmed by those who ought to know if it were true.

A young European, a new arrival, attempted to rob a Frenchman on Saturday at the administration of the French Consulate. He was overpowered, and the Frenchman, who was a Frenchman, was taken to the police station, and the Frenchman was released.

A farmer living at the village of Cheung Kwan, who has been a day or two away from his home, has been found dead in his bed, and the cause of death is believed to be a stroke.

In such case would Japan be allowed to establish a blockade of, say, Shanghai, or conversely China to establish a blockade of Yokohama? According to the laws of war the right to do so would be unquestionable, but it is not to be supposed that the Treaty Powers would stand aside and look on while trade was being unnecessarily and wantonly crippled.

Belligerents also ordinarily possess the right to visit and search neutral vessels. Would this right be allowed to China and Japan? It was exercised by France in the Franco-Chinese war, although that affair was not technically a war at all but merely reprisals; and it was submitted to, if not altogether without protest, at all events without resistance.

What, too, would be the position of this Colony? The chances are that there would be no fighting in our immediate neighbourhood, but in any case it would be the duty of the Colony to observe strict neutrality, and especially not to allow the belligerents' ships to refuel here.

Many difficult questions might arise, and it is almost certain that war would cause serious interruption to trade unless limitations were imposed on the operations of the contending parties. It is British trade that would be chiefly affected, or trade to British ports, and the co-operation of the other Powers would be justified, if not in actually intervening to forcibly prevent war, at all events in imposing such conditions as will minimize the disastrous effects of the conflict on neutral trade.

It is to be hoped the friendly mediation of Great Britain, which both Powers have accepted, may be effective in

Preventing a resort to arms, but if it should unfortunately fail a vigorous policy will be required for the protection of British interests.

In the extradition treaty with Portugal, published in the *Gazette* a few weeks ago, it is provided that the stipulations of the treaty shall be applicable to the colonies and foreign possessions of both of the high contracting parties, as far as the laws for the time being in force in such colonies and foreign possessions will respectively allow.

Liberty has been reserved to make special arrangements within the respective colonies. A protocol attached to the treaty provides that it shall not apply to extradition between British and Portuguese India, which is reserved for ulterior negotiation.

The treaty is in force, however, as regards Macao and Hongkong. It has frequently been necessary for the Hongkong Government to apply to the Macao Government for the surrender of fugitive criminals, and the surrender has always been made as a matter of international comity, although there were then no treaty stipulations governing the matter.

We do not recollect, however, that any of the criminals whose surrender was so applied for were accused of murder; they have been mostly embezzlers who have gone over to the neighbouring Colony for a few days' breathing space, when discovery of their crimes was imminent, for they could hardly have expected, in view of the prevailing practice as between the two Governments, that they would find the Holy City a safe asylum for any length of time.

But according to the treaty now in force, a murderer would possess an advantage over any other criminal, for it is provided that "The Portuguese Government will not deliver up any person either guilty or accused of any crime punishable with death, Capital punishment does not exist under Portuguese law, and it has apparently been thought improper to give up any criminal who might be subjected to that punishment under British law.

If a man guilty of a murder in Hongkong, therefore, and sequestered in Macao, he will be safe, so far as the extradition law goes. The Macao Government, however, could hardly regard a murderer as a desirable addition to its population, and if the Governor possesses equivalent powers of banishment to those possessed by the Governor of Hongkong they would probably be exercised in the case of a murderer flying to that colony from Hongkong.

The result would be that though the Macao Government could not formally surrender the fugitive to the Hongkong authorities they would not allow him to remain in Macao, and on his banishment he could not well escape arrest, for his movements would naturally be closely watched.

The *M. M. steamer Salazar*, with the outward French mail, left Saigon at 2 p.m. on Saturday.

Nineteen boats on Saturday were found fifty-one on the Police Court for neglecting to take out their licenses on the proper date.

Mr. C. V. O'Connell, the Governor of British North Borneo, was installed as the 15th Mayor of the Municipal Council of Hongkong at the Municipal Council Chamber on Saturday.

The steamer *Tartar*, bought by the Nippon Yusen Kaisha from Messrs. Dalmat & Co., is to be named the *Kokura Maru*, and is to run between Yokohama and Kobe.

The Oxford Local Examinations have just been concluded. The Rev. R. F. Cobbold, M.A., acted as Superintendent Examiner. Forty-five were passed and there were present for examination two seniors and twenty-four juniors, of whom two were girls.

On Saturday evening an Indian clerk, named Yumbli, employed in the office of Mr. G. D. Wilkinson, committed suicide by taking a large dose of opium, and was found dead in his room at 42, Hollywood Road. Money matters are supposed to have been the cause of the act.

No money or any other consideration should be expected for the publication of letters.

Orders for extra copies of the Daily Press should be sent before 11 a.m. on the day of publication. After that hour there is no limit. Only supplied for Cash.

Telegraphic Address Press.

P. O. Box 20.

Telephone No. 12.

BIRTH.

At 10 a.m. on the 18th inst., the wife of TANG GUANG-SHENG, 1107 Desford.

Cor Daily Press.

HONGKONG, JULY 23RD, 1924.

In the event of war breaking out between China and Japan, some delicate questions would arise as to the extent to which the respective countries should be allowed to exercise the ordinary rights of belligerents.

A few days ago it was believed that a peaceful solution of the dispute over Korea was practically assured, but later news received is more disquieting and the apprehension of hostilities has been revived. The probabilities are that should they come to blows both countries will be desirous to avoid giving cause of offence to other Powers by venturing proceedings in respect of neutral trade. It would be unwise to mount on this, however. If one of the belligerents succeeded in wiping out the fleet of the other its own vessels would then be available for the purposes of blockade should the other party still refuse to submit to terms. At the commencement of the war both fleets would be too busy engaged in watching each other to be able to establish any blockade of the enemy's ports, but after a time, when one had possibly secured a distinct advantage, a different state of things would arise. In such case would Japan be allowed to establish a blockade of, say, Shanghai, or conversely China to establish a blockade of Yokohama? According to the laws of war the right to do so would be unquestionable, but it is not to be supposed that the Treaty Powers would stand aside and look on while trade was being unnecessarily and wantonly crippled. Belligerents also ordinarily possess the right to visit and search neutral vessels. Would this right be allowed to China and Japan? It was exercised by France in the Franco-Chinese war, although that affair was not technically a war at all but merely reprisals; and it was submitted to, if not altogether without protest, at all events without resistance. What, too, would be the position of this Colony? The chances are that there would be no fighting in our immediate neighbourhood, but in any case it would be the duty of the Colony to observe strict neutrality, and especially not to allow the belligerents' ships to refuel here. Many difficult questions might arise, and it is almost certain that war would cause serious interruption to trade unless limitations were imposed on the operations of the contending parties. It is British trade that would be chiefly affected, or trade to British ports, and the co-operation of the other Powers would be justified, if not in actually intervening to forcibly prevent war, at all events in imposing such conditions as will minimize the disastrous effects of the conflict on neutral trade. It is to be hoped the friendly mediation of Great Britain, which both Powers have accepted, may be effective in

Preventing a resort to arms, but if it should unfortunately fail a vigorous policy will be required for the protection of British interests.

In the extradition treaty with Portugal, published in the *Gazette* a few weeks ago, it is provided that the stipulations of the treaty shall be applicable to the colonies and foreign possessions of both of the high contracting parties, as far as the laws for the time being in force in such colonies and foreign possessions will respectively allow.

Liberty has been reserved to make special arrangements within the respective colonies. A protocol attached to the treaty provides that it shall not apply to extradition







